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Abstract. Most social networks have implemented extensive and complex privacy controls in order to battle the host of privacy concerns that initially plagued their online communities. These privacy controls have taken the form of access restriction, which allow users to construct barriers preventing unwanted users from viewing their personal information. However, this system leaves users unprotected in cases in which the access restriction mechanisms are bypassed or when the access restrictions are met but the data is later misused. Our framework, Respect My Privacy, offers a different approach to privacy protection and is founded on the philosophies of Information Accountability. Our strategy is similar to how legal and social rules work in our societies. The vast majority of these rules are not enforced perfectly or automatically, yet most of us follow the majority of the rules because social systems built up over thousands of years encourage us to do so and often make compliance easier than violation. Our project aims to support similar functionality in social networks. Instead of focusing on preventing data from being accessed, we focus on helping users conform to existing policies by making them aware of the privacy policies associated with the data. We have defined a simple and easily extensible vocabulary for defining restrictions on the use of data in social networks and applications for policy-awareness in different platforms. In its current state, the framework has been implemented across three platforms: Facebook, OpenSocial, and the Tabulator Semantic Web browser. These applications enable users to specify privacy preferences and display privacy-annotated data prominently enabling other users to easily recognize and conform to these preferences.

1 Introduction

From their inception, social networks have suffered from a host of privacy issues. When the first social networks were gaining in popularity, privacy mechanisms were sparse with most profiles being publicly available to all members. As social networks like Facebook and MySpace exploded in popularity, however, many users were shocked to find that the information that they had posted on their profiles were coming back to have unintended consequences in their real life: employers were reported to be using Facebook as a way to vet possible employees; universities were using Facebook pictures to identify people that had attended
illegal functions; and children were being preyed on by registered sexual offenders on MySpace.

In response to these highly publicized privacy concerns, social networks responded by implementing complex privacy controls that allowed users to construct barriers preventing unwanted users from looking at private information. This method of privacy protection, called access control, seeks to close off and hide information from those that are not explicitly given access to it. It is a binary system in which those that obtain access to the data, legitimately or not, have full reign over the use of that data while those without access cannot view anything.

These access restriction systems, while useful in blocking out unwanted viewers, are ineffective for a large, decentralized system like the World Wide Web. It is simply too easy to copy or aggregate information, and it is often possible to infer "private" information without actually having explicit access to the information itself. In addition, there are always human factors that a technical access restriction system will have trouble handling. For example, Facebook's access restriction systems did not prevent users from unwillingly publicizing their purchases when Facebook introduced Beacon, a controversial advertising program. Only a mass protest from users caused Facebook to readjust their privacy controls [3]. Given all these ways for data to escape from behind access restriction systems, it is troubling that access restriction mechanisms are powerless in cases where information is compromised. An apt analogy from Information Accountability [1] compares sole reliance on access restriction to "focusing all one's attention on closing the barn door and ignoring what might happen to the horses after they've escaped."

The Respect My Privacy (RMP) framework hopes to offer an alternative approach to protecting privacy in social networks. It is based on Information Accountability, which argues that in addition to access control, there need to be ways of ensuring that people know exactly what they can and cannot do with personal or sensitive information. This approach is similar to the system in place for legal and social rules in society. In society, a set of legal or social norms govern what we can or cannot do, and they have been ingrained into our way of thinking such that most people go through life without any problems. When problems occur, there are mechanisms that ensure that those who broke the set of legal or social norms are reprimanded. Likewise, social networks would benefit from having mechanisms in place that allow users to declare the 'social norms' that they expect to be respected by those that use their private information. Once these 'social norms' are adequately declared, Information Accountability calls for further mechanisms that will assist users in detecting misuse of their information.

Currently, our framework concentrates on mechanisms that assist users in specifying their privacy restrictions and that make users aware of the privacy requirements of others. We are looking into technical mechanisms to assist users in detecting when their private information is misused.

The implementation of the RMP framework consists of four main parts.
The first part of the framework is the RMP ontology. This ontology represents the five restrictions that are currently offered in RMP: no-commercial, no-depiction, no-employment, no-financial, and no-medical. Users can apply these restrictions over their social network profiles to declare that they do not want their personal information used in certain ways. The ontology allows users to apply the restrictions over their Friend of a Friend (FOAF) documents.

The second part of the framework is the RMP applications in Facebook and OpenSocial that allow users to create RMP restrictions and display them on their profile pages. The aim of the RMP applications on the mainstream social networks is to gain a wider acceptance for the RMP restrictions with which users can declare their personal information.

The third part of the framework is the FOAF converter. Initially developed by Matthew Rowe, the FOAF converter takes Facebook profiles and converts all the information to RDF in the format of FOAF files [9]. This FOAF converter was altered to include the RMP restrictions that a user has declared for his Facebook/OpenSocial profiles. This will hopefully increase the number of FOAF users and offer initial privacy protections for members of a decentralized FOAF network.

The final part of the framework is on the Tabulator Semantic Web Browser[11]. The Tabulator extension acts as a framework upon which a decentralized social network can be built[12]. The RMP pane in the Tabulator extends the previously developed social pane, which allows users to view FOAF files in a format similar to a social network profile page. The RMP adds to the Tabulator by allowing users to declare or modify restrictions using the RMP ontology over their FOAF files. In addition, a privacy aware pane highlights restricted data with different colors to notify users of those restrictions and makes it easier to responsibly browse Semantic social data.

2 Architecture

The RMP framework hopes to enhance privacy protections in social networks by establishing a set of ‘social norms’ that the users will hopefully adopt and embrace. The current architecture of the RMP framework consists of two distinct parts. The first is the Respect My Privacy applications on the mainstream social networks: Facebook and OpenSocial. These applications are there to introduce the RMP restrictions and attempt to spread some familiarity with the restrictions. The second is in the Tabulator extension, which supports decentralized social networks consisting of FOAF files that can be navigated through the Tabulator extension. The Tabulator extension also offers the door for future work on accountability systems as users have greater control and flexibility over their personal information.

Both of these parts depend on the set of restrictions that we have developed, which allow users to declare certain uses that are forbidden with their data. They are represented in RDF in the ontology and can currently be attached over FOAF documents.
Also connecting these two parts is the FOAF converter, originally developed by Matthew Rowe, that is a part of the RMP applications on Facebook. The FOAF converter takes the personal information stored in Facebook and creates a FOAF file that is stored on a Web server. This, in effect, becomes a profile in a decentralized social network if navigated through the Tabulator Extension. The hope is that this pane will encourage the adoption of decentralized social networks and show the benefits of privacy protections based on Information Accountability offer.

2.1 RMP Restrictions and Ontology

In keeping with the Creative Commons model, RMP offers predetermined restrictions for RMP users. Offering predetermined restrictions will ensure a better user experience and allow the creation of simple and recognizable icons that will assist in the widespread adoption of RMP. There are currently five restrictions that are implemented on RMP: no-commercial, no-depiction, no-employment, no-financial, and no-medical. Users may choose to apply none or any combination of the five restrictions on their social network profiles and related pages. The lack of any of these restrictions on a profile page implies that the use is allowed. For example, not including the no-financial restriction would imply that you are willing to allow your personal information to be used for financial purposes.

This set of restrictions is currently aimed primarily at protecting individuals from organizations as organizations have a vested interest in protecting privacy interests. This can be seen by the current trend of appointing CPOs, or Chief Privacy Officers, who ensure that companies are aware of and protect privacy interests. There is a market place for privacy that is being created and surveys report that consumers prefer organizations that respect privacy interests to those that do not [8]. The hope is that the competition between organizations to protect privacy will make them respect privacy declarations, fearing bad public relations, more so than individuals would.

no-commercial: The no-commercial restriction is similar to its counterpart in the Creative Commons. At the time when the RMP restrictions were being developed, there was no way to apply Creative Commons restrictions on the content that one posted to a social network. This restriction states that the user does not want anything on his profile or related pages to be used for a commercial purpose.
The no-depiction restriction allows a user to declare that he does not want his pictures taken and used for any reason and he they does not want his private information used to identify him in an image. This restriction was meant to specifically protect the pictures that users often post on social network sites. These posted pictures have been the most troubling with universities using student photos as evidence for infractions and employers using Facebook pictures to prove that employees were not doing what they claimed to be doing.

The no-employment restriction declares that the user does not want any personal information used for the purposes of any kind of employment decision. For example, this would make companies aware that the user does not want them using their social network page as a way to vet them for a job. In addition, this would imply that an employer could not use personal information from a social network as justification for a firing. This restriction was meant again as a response to common incidents of users not being hired or being fired from a job owing to something they posted on a social network.

The no-financial restriction declares that the user does not want any personal information used for any financial purposes. For example, the user would not want banks using personal information from the social network to influence a loan or credit decision, or have any influence in divorce proceedings.

The no-medical restriction declares that the user does not want any personal information used for any medical purposes. For example, the user would not want hospitals or insurance providers using personal information from the social network to research into her lifestyle habits or more.

Each of these restrictions have a corresponding picture as seen in Figure 1. These pictures are combined to create a simple icon that can be placed on social network pages and link to additional information. A sample of these icons are shown in Figure 2. When clicked, these icons link to a page that offers additional information about each of the restrictions that has been applied.

These restrictions are represented in RDF in the RMP ontology. The ontology can currently be used to apply the RMP on FOAF documents. In Figure 3, we can see a sample FOAF file where the user has selected to apply the no-commercial and no-medical restrictions on his FOAF file.
2.2 RMP on Facebook and OpenSocial

The RMP application on Facebook is a MySQL/PHP driven web application that uses the Facebook Application API and is hosted on the Decentralized Information Group’s server. In order to mimic the ease of use for Creative Commons, the creation of a RMP setting is simple, taking mere minutes. When a user decides to add the RMP application, they are directed to a page that explains the philosophy behind RMP. This page is very important as RMP on Facebook is currently a project entirely dependent on its members. As more users create the RMP restrictions and expect their restrictions to be respected, organizations
will feel more pressure to actually respect those restrictions. Thus, the introd-
utory text attempts to instill the idea that the user is part of a movement that
will improve everyone’s social network experience the more the user respects
others restrictions. The user is then directed to a page that lists the five restric-
tions with descriptions of each. Each restriction has an accompanying checkbox,
which allows the user to decide whether they want to apply that restriction or
not. Once they have chosen the restrictions, they are done. The restrictions are
saved into the MySQL database and the appropriate icon is pushed to the pro-
file page so that everyone that visits a user’s profile page can clearly see the
restrictions that the user has placed on his personal information.

Once a user has created a set of RMP restrictions, there are several fea-
tures that become available to them. First, the user’s RMP icon is pushed onto
their profile page along with some informative text in the following context:
"The information on this profile may not be used for ... purposes.” Now anyone
that visits the user’s profile page will be able to view the RMP icon. A sample
Facebook page with the RMP icon in the lower-left is shown in Figure 4.

If any visitor clicks on the RMP icon they will be directed to a page that
lists the restrictions that the user has decided to apply and a paragraph giving
more information on the restrictions chosen. The users are then invited to join
the RMP movement on Facebook by creating their own set of licenses.

The RMP application on OpenSocial is driven primarily by Javascript. OpenSo-
cial’s API and data storage is fairly different from that of Facebook, relying heav-
ily on Javascript and not an actual database, but the OpenSocial application was
designed to be exactly like its counterpart on Facebook.

2.3 The FOAF Converter

The RMP application on Facebook allows users to port their Facebook profiles
to Friend of a Friend (FOAF) files. This acts as a bridge between the RMP
applications in Facebook and the corresponding extensions on the Tabulator.
Users of Facebook and automatically become members of decentralized social
networks by choosing to create a FOAF file. They can choose to download the
FOAF file and host it on their own or have it hosted on our group’s Web server.

This will hopefully introduce the members of mainstream social networks
to the idea of decentralized social networks. With further work on the Tabu-
lator, users might be able to see the advantages of having complete control of
their data especially as methods of attaching provenance and more sophisticated
accountability mechanisms are developed.

2.4 RMP pane and sidebar for Tabulator

The Tabulator is a generic Semantic Web data browser and editor for RDF data,
similar to how a web browser is used to navigate HTML pages. The Tabulator is
currently implemented as a Firefox extension. When a user installs the extension
and uses Firefox to go to a URI that contains RDF triples, the Tabulator offers an
easy interface with which to view the RDF data and allows users to easily explore
Fig. 5. The social pane on the Tabulator offers a social network profile like view of FOAF information and also allows users to create and edit RMP restrictions.

triple relationships to obtain more data. The Tabulator recently implemented a social pane that becomes available when users browse upon FOAF data. The social pane displays the FOAF data in a format similar to social network profiles, allowing the typical social network experience in a decentralized setting.

The RMP pane attempts to make it easier for users to attach RMP restrictions, using the aforementioned ontology, to their FOAF files. Users with editable FOAF files can use the Tabulator to identify a FOAF files as their identity. Once an identity has been established, users can host editable FOAF files on a WebDAV servers and use SPARQL updates to create or edit the restrictions they place over their FOAF profiles. The social pane also displays the RMP icons if restrictions are detected, similar to the RMP applications on the mainstream networks. An example social pane that would occur when browsing upon a FOAF file with the Tabulator is shown in Figure 5.

In addition, a policy aware sidebar makes it easier to recognized protected data while browsing semantic information on the Tabulator. The sidebar detects instances of RMP restrictions or Creative Commons licenses as data is browsed and allows users to choose a color for each restriction or license. When users do so, any data that is protected by the restriction or license will be highlighted in that color, allowing users to instantly recognize the information that is protected under certain policies. An example of the the policy aware sidebar with the highlighting functionality is shown in Figure 6. TimBL’s entire FOAF file is protected by a CC license so it appears in yellow and the sidebar shows the license found and allows the color to be customized.
Fig. 6. The privacy aware sidebar detects protected data, like a Creative Commons license, and highlights data that is protected by different policies

3 Related Work

The Creative Commons released a Facebook application on May 18th, 2009 [7]. Users are able to choose from the six Creative Commons licenses and apply them over their entire profiles. Users are given the recognizable Creative Commons icon and are able to publish it on their profiles linking to a page with more information. This application highlights the need for users to be able to declare how they want their data used. This application is definitely a step in the right direction as it does not solely rely on access control to prevent unwanted users from viewing data but declares to all viewing users certain restrictions on how they want their licensed information used. The RMP will hopefully offer more protections as the restrictions are aimed primarily at social network users while the Creative Commons licenses are focused on creative works.

Another example of users being more proactive of how their personal information is handled is in the discussion of Google AdSense ads. Google AdSense includes a notion of policy-awareness by putting a hyperlink ”Ads by Google” on all its advertisement [5]. When clicked, the user gets general information about why these ads were displayed and is able to slightly modify how further targeting is performed. Turow proposes an approach in which each ad will have an icon that when clicked displays exactly what information was used in order to choose that ad 1. These approaches are related to our framework in that they attempt to make policy explicit but they focus on the use of search/clickstream data for targeted advertisements versus the use of personal data available in social networks.

1 http://www.asc.upenn.edu/ascfaculty/FacultyBio.aspx?id=128
The Platform for Privacy Preferences (P3P) relied on server-side policy markup that describe how the information gathered by the server is utilized [14]. The main goal was for users to know how the server was using their data. Unfortunately enforcement was a problem because it was difficult for users to verify whether the servers were actually conforming to their own policies. In our approach, anyone can markup their own data and our goal is awareness of these privacy annotations and is not so much about enforcement.

4 Future Work

The RMP project still has areas that require significant work. First, the applications in the framework expect privacy annotations to be associated with a foaf:Person, and are unable to handle to more finely grained annotations. We would like to use N3Logic [13] to allow any RDF sub-graphs to be annotated with RMP privacy restrictions and support N3Logic in our Facebook, Open Social applications as well as in the Tabulator pane.

As social networks move from being centralized hosted applications to more decentralized applications [12], the role of RMP becomes more important. In these decentralized networks, accessing, copying, and reusing data inappropriately becomes even easier. Using RMP will enable users to specify their privacy requirements and encourage third party application developers to develop tools such as the RMP Tabulator sidebar that clearly identifies the restrictions of social data.

Another area of future work is in building accountable systems that will be able to use the provenance attached to data to pinpoint cases of misuse. Systems that are able to model and implement policies have been created and demonstrated in the case of data mining, but there has been no clear conclusion on how to detect misuse in the context of social networks. One problem in this area is the difficulty in pinpointing ”use” in a social network. In many contexts, such as an employer doing a background check on a potential employee, merely looking at unflattering data on the prospective employee’s social network profile might be enough to eliminate him from getting a job. A possible solution is to allow users to create multiple FOAF files on the decentralized social network, and have servers hosting the FOAF files to query visitors for their intent in viewing the profile. Based on the visitor’s intent, the server can display one of the user’s more appropriate profiles. This strategy is similar to those taken by niche social networks, like LinkedIn, that are made specifically for a certain purpose, such as networking. Nonetheless, determining what defines a ”use” in the context of social network information is a problem that requires future work.

5 Summary

The efforts of social networks in protecting privacy could be greatly improved with the adoption of information accountability techniques. One of the tenets of accountable systems is transparency in policy, and the Respect My Privacy
project currently offers users a clear and simple way to define the restrictions they want to place on their data. In addition, the current RMP implementations employ the model used by the Creative Commons to try to gain widespread acceptance of the defined restrictions. This will hopefully lead to legal mechanisms to protect users from malicious misuse of their personal information and encourage adoption of a set of social norms online, which depend on people responsibly helping each other protect their privacy. Finally the Respect My Privacy project’s new direction in decentralized social networks offers a foundation upon which to build a fully accountable systems for social networks.
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